

Date	Commenter		Which GSA do you belong to? (optional) Find your GSA at bit.ly/cosumnesmap	Comment	Upload a File (optional)
Date	Full Name	Affiliation (Organization, farmer, rancher, domestic well owner, etc.)	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
8/26/21	Christian Harrison	Homeowner	Sloughhouse Resource Conservation District	Will I need to let my decades old pond dry up every summer thereby killing the abundant wildlife that depend on it? If we are metered and charged per gallon of water I will not be able to pay that.	
8/29/21	Ralph Hofmeier	Energy And Water Development		To have an 20 year groundwater plan and call it sustainable is gaslighting . At this climate change you can't! Do it like the Germans in Berlin. With still water in the ground they now they can't continue five more years digging for water. To be sustainable for the next 5-20 years you need an sustainable water source , the atmosphere . The municipality where the Tesla Giga around Berlin ordered for the start an 2,6million gallon/day water supply system. It will be extended to 6million gallon and additional 10Mw/h . Wondering why the Germans call an US Corporation to stay sustainable but US municipalities don't ?	
9/15/21	Tish Espinosa		Galt Irrigation District	<p>[Comment originally submitted to a workshop feedback survey. CBI transferred comment to this submittal form with TF's permission at the September 15 Working Group meeting]</p> <p>[Response to question: What's on your mind? Based on what you heard at the meeting, what's of greatest concern to you? Why are these issues important to you?]</p> <p>The plan you have been working on for years has no real projects planned for the foreseeable that would recharge our aquafer. You are piggy backing on projects in other basins however you indicate we only get 3% seepage from other basins. You Never asked for input from Ag community but yet irrigated agriculture is footing the bill this first year. Irrigated agricultural lands are where potential projects in OUR basin can be developed, their input does matter to the success of this plan! Irrigated ag is not evil, they don't just waste ground water but provide food &amp; fiber for the world! If there's a deficit of 10,000af/y we have no business even talking about selling water in a sustainability plan when there is nothing planned to bring our aquafer even into balance. If this plan has to be updated every 5 years selling water can be addressed at a later date if we have put in ground water recharge projects in our basin &amp; there is an excess monitored in our aquafer. It is not like a bucket with no leaks! We also lose water to other basins we recharge into it. Outreach is subpar. You are utilizing old data bases with outdated information. All well users were not notified &amp; asked for input (Just those you thought were irrigators &amp; were going to get money out of) even though the plan will affect all well users.</p> <p>[Response to question: What would you like to see Sept 16? The September 16 will be at the Wilton Community Center, in-person, with topic-specific stations for you to speak one-on-one with agency representatives and technical experts. We may need to modify the design per health policies (venue currently requires wearing a mask regardless of vaccination status). Any input on how we might design the in-person public workshops on September 16? Issues or topics you want covered? Ideas for ensuring you feel safe participating during the workshop?]</p> <p>Planned projects for recharge in our basin Info on the past, current &amp; proposed budget Maps indicating where the monitoring wells &amp; stream gages are located. As well as which are actually in service &amp; being used. Maps of these monitoring devices in relation to the cone of depression. Representation from all of the GSA's</p>	
9/15/21	Teresa Flewellyn		Galt Irrigation District	<p>[Comment originally submitted to a workshop feedback survey. CBI transferred comment to this submittal form with TF's permission at the September 15 Working Group meeting]</p> <p>[Response to question: What's on your mind? Based on what you heard at the meeting, what's of greatest concern to you? Why are these issues important to you?]</p> <p>Selling water. I dont understand why the option of selling our water will be included in the Plan at this time. The presentation shows an est deficit of -10,000 acre feet for the next 3+ years. No water retention/recharge projects completed in the next 5 years, it doesnt seem appropriate to me to even consider including language allowing excess water sales given the fact that the currently declining aquifer is the reason the Plan is being mandated by the State. I'm open to water sales IF we ever reach a state of excess. But making sure all stakeholders in the Subbasin have adequate water is what I understand to be the reason for State mandates protecting public health.</p> <p>[Response to question: What would you like to see Sept 16? The September 16 will be at the Wilton Community Center, in-person, with topic-specific stations for you to speak one-on-one with agency representatives and technical experts. We may need to modify the design per health policies (venue currently requires wearing a mask regardless of vaccination status). Any input on how we might design the in-person public workshops on September 16? Issues or topics you want covered? Ideas for ensuring you feel safe participating during the workshop?]</p> <p>Planned projects for recharge in our basin Info on the past, current &amp; proposed budget Maps indicating where the monitoring wells &amp; stream gages are located. As well as which are actually in service &amp; being used. Maps of these monitoring devices in relation to the cone of depression. Representation from all of the GSA's</p>	

9/18/21	Eileen Allen	Environmental Council of Sacramento (ECOS)	None of the above - I do not live or own property in the Cosumnes Subbasin.	Preliminary/Draft comments from ECOS: Water Budget - need clarification on climate change assumptions, esp future scenarios Demand Reduction - describe back up strategies if Voluntary Land Following doesn't yield as much savings as anticipated Water Conservation - what measures have been considered, and what has worked elsewhere? Flood-MAR P/MAs - describe contingency plans if there is less winter flood water than anticipated by modeling, etc. Discuss water rights/legal status for flood waters Describe P/MAs coordination with other sub-basins to avoid double counting of potential resources	
9/28/21	Sherry Burch [transcribed by S Horii]	Domestic well owner	Omochumne-Hartnell Water District	[From 9.16.2021 public meeting, photo of comment attached] The (30 min) booklet online was very useful and informative. Much easier to follow than the 381-page full report. Once plan is approved, need to look at individual ways for farmers + homeowners can help improve their sites.	IMG_4304.JPG
9/28/21	Teresa Flewellyn [transcribed by S Horii]	Domestic well owner	Galt Irrigation District	[From 9.16.2021 public meeting, photo of comment attached] 1. Water Sales - does not seem appropriate to have this in the initial plan given we are being mandated to develop a GSP due to water shortages. 2. Monitoring implementation throughout all areas of the Subbasin.	IMG_4307.JPG
9/28/21	Neva Hayden [transcribed by S Horii]	Farmer	Galt Irrigation District	[From 9.16.2021 public meeting, photo of comment attached] Thank you to all of you for your time + info	IMG_4305.JPG
9/28/21	Bill Pritchett [transcribed by S Horii]	Resident	Sloughhouse Resource Conservation District	[From 9.16.2021 public meeting, photo of comment attached] Thanks for having this workshop	IMG_4306.JPG
9/30/21	[Various members of Public; entered by S Horii]			[List of issues pulled from August 26, 2021 public webinar and follow-up feedback survey - list was presented by the O&E Committee at Sept 15 2021 Working Group meeting] August 26 Public Webinar & Feedback Survey   Issues Raised: Water reliability • Water budget balance • Avoid well needing to go deeper or drying up	5a-Cosumnes O&E Update (Sep 15 2021)-UPDATED.pdf
9/30/21	[Various members of Public; entered by S Horii]			[List of issues pulled from August 26, 2021 public webinar and follow-up feedback survey - list was presented by the O&E Committee at Sept 15 2021 Working Group meeting] August 26 Public Webinar & Feedback Survey   Issues Raised: Projects • Water Banking - Under what conditions would we sell excess water? Concept of reselling if we have a 10K AFY deficit doesn't align • Recharge projects – reasonable cost and effective	5a-Cosumnes O&E Update (Sep 15 2021)-UPDATED.pdf
9/30/21	[Various members of Public; entered by S Horii]			[List of issues pulled from August 26, 2021 public webinar and follow-up feedback survey - list was presented by the O&E Committee at Sept 15 2021 Working Group meeting] August 26 Public Webinar & Feedback Survey   Issues Raised: Funding • Clarification on irrigated acreage calculation and the QA/QC • Reliable source of long-term funding that still minimizes cost burden on rate payers – being competitive for grant funding; partnering; advocating funding needs to elected; etc. • Fairness of the irrigated acreage-based fee (impacts on ag community)	5a-Cosumnes O&E Update (Sep 15 2021)-UPDATED.pdf
9/30/21	[Various members of Public; entered by S Horii]			[List of issues pulled from August 26, 2021 public webinar and follow-up feedback survey - list was presented by the O&E Committee at Sept 15 2021 Working Group meeting] August 26 Public Webinar & Feedback Survey   Issues Raised: Tracking Progress • Monitoring network sufficiently tracking changes in areas of concern (e.g., cone of depression and riparian habitats dependent on groundwater)	5a-Cosumnes O&E Update (Sep 15 2021)-UPDATED.pdf
9/30/21	[Various members of Public; entered by S Horii]			[List of issues pulled from August 26, 2021 public webinar and follow-up feedback survey - list was presented by the O&E Committee at Sept 15 2021 Working Group meeting] August 26 Public Webinar & Feedback Survey   Issues Raised: General SGMA / Other / Outreach & Engagement • Concern with government role and authorities • Process for selecting the technical consultant for GSP implementation • Concern about overall O&E and level of community awareness Feedback for future webinars / workshops • Too much focus on State Intervention during webinar presentation • More discussion opportunities during webinars (e.g., sufficient Q&A time, leave Chat open) • How will this affect the public in the coming year(s)?	5a-Cosumnes O&E Update (Sep 15 2021)-UPDATED.pdf